



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/059,627	01/29/2002	Yawei Ni	CARR-0084(103216.00252 5288	
759	90 08/12/2004		EXAM	NER
T. Ling Chwang Suite 600 2435 N. Central Expressway		;	MELLER, MICHAEL V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Richardson, TX			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/059,627	NI ET AL.				
ration, rionein	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael V. Meller	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (b) above, if checked.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
<u> </u>		see NOTE below):				
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); 						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5,7,13-15,17 and 23-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2, 4, 6, 8-12</u>	2 <u>, 16, 18-22, 26-77</u> .					
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen O. Other:	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Michael V. Meller				
·		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1654				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Applicants argue that the combination of the two ingredients is counter intuitive. There is no evidence of this. Even if there were, the law is clear. If the ingredients are known individually in the art to be used for the same purpose, then to combine them for the same purpose is also obvious. Thus, sinc the law has been met, the combination is prima facie obvious.